Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/711,047	DOTY, GARY L.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Thomas E. Lazo	3745
Participants: Status of Application: <u>pending</u>		
(1) Thomas E. Lazo (USPTO).	(3)	
(2) Warren Comstock (25,844).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 30 June 2005	Time: <u>4:15 pm</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: .		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: none		
Claims discussed: 4-11		
Prior art documents discussed: none		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:		
An agreement was reached to renumber claims 4-11 as 3-10 respectively and corrected the affected claim dependencies.		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
and not result in resolution of all issues. A blief suitiffially	by the examiner appears in r	art II abuve.
		•
Thomas & Fact		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Sig	gnature – if appropriate)